arXiv:1212.3049v1 [math.OA] 13 Dec 2012

# ROHLIN FLOWS ON AMALGAMATED FREE PRODUCT FACTORS

KOICHI SHIMADA

Abstract. We construct flows on an amalgamated free product factor and characterize the Rohlin property for them by freeness of $\mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{Z}$ actions on a measured space. As a corolally, it turnes out that there exist Rohlin flows on an amalgamated free product factor. This shows that the classification theorem of Masuda and Tomatsu for the Rohlin flows is very useful also for flows on non-McDuff factors. We actually classify constructed Rohlin flows.

## 1. Introduction

In this paper, we construct Rohlin flows on amalgamated free product factors and classify these flows.

Studying group actions is one of the most interesting topics in operator algebra theory. After Connes [1], [2] has completely classified single automorphisms of the approximately finite dimensional (hereafter abbreviated AFD) $\mathrm{II}_1$ factor up to cocycle conjugacy, the classification of group actions has been remarkably developed; discrete amenable group actions on the AFD factors are completely classified [8], [13], [17], [22], [28], [29], and there has been great progress in the classification of compact group actions by Masuda and Tomatsu [18], [19], [20]. Then our next interest is the classification of non-compact continuous groups, especially, $\mathbf{R}$ actions (flows). In the study of flows, a great deal of things remain to be done. One of the main topics related to flows is about “outerness” for flows. Defining the appropriate “outerness” for flows, constructing and classifying “outer” flows are studied by many people. The first studies of this type have been done by Kawahigashi [10], [11], [12]. As in Theorem 1.6 of [10], Theorem 16 of [11], he constructed flows on the AFD $\mathrm{II}_1$ factor by various ways, and for these flows, studied when it is “very outer” (which is equivalent to being cocycle conjugate to an infinite tensor product type action with full Connes spectrum). Later, Kishimoto [16] defined the Rohlin property for flows on $C^*$-algebras as in the Introduction of [16], which is a candidate for “being very outer” for flows. Kawamuro [14] defined the Rohlin property for flows on the AFD $\mathrm{II}_1$ factor as in Definition 2.2 of

[14]. They have also shown that the Rohlin property is equivalent to cohomology vanishing property as in Theorem 2.1 of [16], Theorem 3.1 of [14], which corresponds to one of the key lemmas (Theorem 2.1.3 of [1]) for Connes's classification theorem (Theorem 2 of [1]) for outer **Z** actions. Recently, Masuda and Tomatsu [21] defined the Rohlin property for **R** cocycle actions on arbitrary separable von Neumann algebras as in Definition 4.1 of [21] and established the classification theortem for the Rohlin flows as in Theorem 5.14 of [21]. So what we have to do next is to characterize the Rohlin property by other properties. The definition of the Rohlin property (See Definition 2.2 of [14], Definition 4.1 of [21] or Definition 2) is difficult to check because it is related to the continuity of $\mathbf{R} \curvearrowright M_\omega$, which is very delicate. So characterizing the Rohlin property by other ones is meaningful. There are a great deal of "outerness" for flows and they have the following relations:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
 & \text{minimal} \arrow[d] & \\
\text{Rohlin} \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \text{strongly outer} \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \text{full Connes spectrum} \\
\text{centarally outer} \arrow[r] & \text{outer} &
\end{tikzcd}$$

For flows on the AFD $\mathrm{II}_1$ factor, whether strong outerness, or central outerness and having full Connes spectrum imply Rohlin property or not is a very important problem (Conjecture 8.3 and Problem 8.6 of [21]). However, for flows of non-AFD factors, strong outerness does not imply the Rohlin property as in Example 21. Hence to show that strong outerness implies the Rohlin property, we may use some special properties of the AFD $\mathrm{II}_1$ factor. So the essencial part of the characterization problem of the Rohlin property may be whether central outerness and full Connes spcetrum imply the Rohlin property or not and there is a chance that this is true without any assumption such as approximate finite dimensionality for factors. So it may be useful to consider this problem for flows on non-AFD, especially non-McDuff factors. Although no examples had been known, there is a chance for non-McDuff but non-full factors to admit a Rohlin flow (Problem 8.2 of [21]). Important examples of non-McDuff, non-full factors are constructed by amalgamated free products (hereafter abbreviated AFP) as shown in Theorem 8 of [34]. In this paper, we construct some flows on an AFP factor (Proposition 10), and characterize the Rohlin property for these flows (Theorem 16). As a corollaly, it turnes out that there are Rohlin flows on this non-McDuff factor (Example 17). We also consider Problem 8.2 of [21]. We give a sufficient condition for a class of AFP factors to admit a Rohlin flow (Theorem 25). Besides constructions, classification of flows is very important. Since Masuda and Tomatsu's classification theorem for Rohlin flows is applicable not only for flows on McDuff factors but also for flows on non-McDuff factors, we actually classify Rohlin flows constructed in Theorem 16, up to strong cocycle conjugacy (Theorem 29). It turned out that "almost all" flows are mutually different, up to strong cocycle conjugacy. We interpret that this is because $\overline{\mathrm{Int}}(M)$ is too small for an amalgamated free product factor $M$. So we would like to know the difference between cocycle conjugacy and strong cocycle conjugacy. We give an example of a pair of two flows which is not mutually strongly cocycle conjugate but cocycle conjugate (Theorem 31). We also give a conjugate-type classification for some Rohlin flows on amalgamated free product factors (Theorem 33).

**Acknowledgements.** The author is thankful to Professor Reiji Tomatsu, who raises his interest in this problem and give him very useful advice. The author would also thank Professor Yasuyuki Kawahigashi, who is his advisor and Professor Toshihiko Masuda for their valuable comments.

## 2. Preliminaries

In this section, we collect basic facts about Rohlin flows and amalgamated free product factors. Here, $M$ always denotes a separable von Neumann algebra.

### 2.1. The u-topology on Aut($M$).

First, we recall a topology on Aut($M$). For detail, see Section 3 of Haagerup [5]. We consider the weakest topology on Aut($M$) which makes $\mathrm{Aut}(M) \ni \alpha \mapsto \phi \circ \alpha \in (M_*, \mathrm{norm})$ continuous for all $\phi \in M_*$. By this topology, Aut($M$) is a separable topological group and is metrized by a complete metric. We call this topology the u-topology. A continuous group homomorphism $\alpha : \mathbf{R} \to \mathrm{Aut}(M)$ is said to be a flow. In general, for a net $\{\alpha_i\}$ in Aut($M$), it is not easy to check the convergence in the u-topology. However, by the following theorem by Haagerup [5], it is possible to do this in the u-topology of finite factors.

**Theorem 1.** (Proposition 3.7 of [5]) *Let $\varphi$ be a normal faithful state on a separable von Neumann algebra $M$. Set $Aut_\varphi(M) := \{\alpha \in Aut(M) | \varphi \circ \alpha = \varphi\}$. Then on $Aut_\varphi(M)$, $\alpha_i \to \alpha$ in the u-topology if and only if $\alpha_i(x) \to \alpha(x)$ for all $x \in M$.*

### 2.2. Ultraproduct von Neumann algebras.

Next, we recall ultraproduct von Neumann algebras. For detail, see Chapter 5 of Ocneanu

[22]. Let $\omega$ be a free ultrafilter on $\mathbf{N}$. We denote by $l^\infty(M)$ the C$^*$-algebra consists of all bouded sequences in $M$. Set

$$I_\omega := \{(x_n) \in l^\infty(M) | {}^*\text{strong-lim}_{n\to\omega}(x_n) = 0\},$$

$$N_\omega := \{(x_n) \in l^\infty(M) | \text{for all } (y_n) \in I_\omega, \\ \text{we have } (x_n y_n) \in I_\omega \text{ and } (y_n x_n) \in I_\omega\},$$

$$C_\omega := \{(x_n) \in l^\infty(M) | \text{for all } \phi \in M_*, \lim_{n\to\omega} \| [\phi, x_n] \| = 0\}.$$

Then we have $I_\omega \subset C_\omega \subset N_\omega$ and $I_\omega$ is a closed ideal of $N_\omega$. Hence we define the quotient C$^*$-algebra $M^\omega := N_\omega / I_\omega$. Denote the cannonical quotient map $N_\omega \to M^\omega$ by $\pi$. Set $M_\omega := \pi(C_\omega)$. Then it turns out that $M_\omega$ and $M^\omega$ are finite von Neumann algebras as in Proposition 5.1 of Ocneanu [22]. Let $\alpha$ be an automorphism of $M$. We define an automorphism $\alpha^\omega$ of $M^\omega$ by $\alpha^\omega((x_n)) = (\alpha(x_n))$ for $(x_n) \in M^\omega$. Then we have $\alpha^\omega(M_\omega) = M_\omega$. By restricting $\alpha^\omega$ to $M_\omega$, we define an automorphism $\alpha_\omega$ of $M_\omega$. Hereafter we denote $\alpha^\omega$ and $\alpha_\omega$ by $\alpha$ if there is no chance of confusion.

### 2.3. The Rohlin property.

We review basic facts about Rohlin flows. Rohlin flows are “sufficiently free flows”. It may seem natural to call a flow $\alpha : \mathbf{R} \curvearrowright M$ free if $\alpha_t$ is free for all $t \neq 0$. But it is not enough from some viewpoint. There are a great deal of these pointwise-free flows which are not mutually cocycle conjugate on the AFD II$_1$ factor. Among them, there are flows with Connes spectrum zero as in Kawahigashi [12]. So for a candidate for the class of “sufficiently free” flows, the Rohlin property for flows has been introduced by Kishimoto [16], Kawamuro [14], Masuda and Tomatsu [21].

Let $\omega$ be a free ultrafilter on $\mathbf{N}$. Choose a normal faithful state $\varphi$ on $M$. For a flow $\alpha$ on a von Neumann algebra $M$, set

$$M_{\omega,\alpha} := \{(x_n) \in M_\omega | \text{for all } \epsilon > 0, \text{ there exists } \delta > 0 \text{ such that} \\ \{n \in \mathbf{N} | \ \|\alpha_t(x_n) - x_n\|_\varphi^\sharp < \epsilon \text{ for } |t| < \delta\} \in \omega\}.$$

Where, $\|x\|_\varphi^\sharp := \sqrt{\varphi(x^*x) + \varphi(xx^*)}$, which metrizes the *strong topology of the unit ball of $M$. This is a von Neumann subalgebra of $M_\omega$ and satisfies $\alpha_t(M_{\omega,\alpha}) = M_{\omega,\alpha}$ as in Lemma 3.10 of Masuda and Tomatsu [21].

**Definition 2.** (Definition 2.2 of [14], Definition 4.1 of [21])

*We say that a flow $\alpha$ has the Rohlin property if for any $p \in \boldsymbol{R}$, there exists a unitary $u \in U(M_{\omega,\alpha})$ with $\alpha_t(u) = e^{-ipt}u$ for $t \in \boldsymbol{R}$.*

For Rohlin flows, the following theorem holds, by which we may regard Rohlin flows as sufficiently free flows.

**Theorem 3.** (Corollary 4.13 of [21])
*For a flow $\alpha$ on a factor $M$, consider the following three conditions.*
(1) *The flow $\alpha$ has the Rohlin property.*
(2) *The flow $\alpha$ is strongly outer. If $M$ is of type $II_1$, this is equivalent to $(M \rtimes_\alpha \boldsymbol{R}) \cap M' = \boldsymbol{C}$.*
(3) *The flow $\alpha$ has full Connes spectrum and $\alpha_t$ is outer for all $t \neq 0$.*
*Then we have* (1) $\Rightarrow$ (2) $\Rightarrow$ (3).

Although the Rohlin property is somehow strong, there are a great deal of ways of constructing Rohlin flows. For example, a trace scaling flow on the AFD $\mathrm{II}_\infty$ factor has the Rohlin property as in Theorem 6.18 of Masuda and Tomatsu [21]. This is deeply related to the uniqueness of the AFD type $\mathrm{III}_1$ factor. For other examples, see Example 2.3 of Kawamuro [14] and 6.2 of Masuda and Tomatsu [21]. Next, we recall a classifcation theorem for Rohlin flows.

**Definition 4.** (2.2 of [21])
*Let $\theta^1$, $\theta^2$ be two flows on a von Neumann algebra $M$.*
(1) *The two flows $\theta^1$ and $\theta^2$ are said to be conjugate if there exists an automorphism $\sigma$ of $M$ such that $\theta^2_t = \sigma \circ \theta^1_t \circ \sigma^{-1}$.*
(2) *The two flows $\theta^1$ and $\theta^2$ are said to be cocycle conjugate if there exists an automorphism $\sigma$ of $M$ and $\theta^2$-cocycle $\{u_t\}_{t \in \boldsymbol{R}}$ (i.e, $t \mapsto u_t \in U(M)$ is *strongly continuous and satisfies $u_{t+s} = u_t \theta_t(u_s)$) with $\mathrm{Ad} u_t \circ \theta^2_t = \sigma \circ \theta^1_t \circ \sigma^{-1}$.*
(3) *The two flows $\theta^1$ and $\theta^2$ are said to be strongly cocycle conjugate if there exists an approximately inner automorphism $\sigma$ of $M$ and $\theta^2$-cocycle $\{u_t\}_{t \in \boldsymbol{R}}$ with $\mathrm{Ad} u_t \circ \theta^2_t = \sigma \circ \theta^1_t \circ \sigma^{-1}$.*

For Rohlin flows, there is a complete classification theorem, up to strongly cocycle conjugacy.

**Theorem 5.** (Theorem5.14 of [21]) *For two Rohlin flows $\theta^1$, $\theta^2$, they are strongly cocycle conjugate if and only if $\theta^1_t \circ \theta^2_{-t} \in \overline{\mathrm{Int}}(M)$ for all $t$. In particular, there is a unique Rohlin flow up to strongly cocycle conjugacy on the AFD* $\mathrm{II}_1$ *factor.*

2.4. **Amalgamated free products.** In order to construct Rohlin flows on non-McDuff factors, of course, examples of non-McDuff factors are important. One of the important classes of non-McDuff factors consists of amalgamated free product factors. Here, we list up facts about amalgamated free product factors needed for our construdtion. For detail, see Popa [24], Popa [25], Ueda [33], [34].

**Theorem 6.** (3 of [24], Definition2.4, Theorem2.5 of [33])

*Let $A$, $B$ be two von Neumann algebras with a common von Neumann subalgebra $D$. Suppose that there exist normal faithful conditional expectations $E_A : A \to D$, $E_B : B \to D$. Then there exists a von Neumann algebra $M$ with the following properties.*

(1) *There are normal faithful representations $\pi_A : A \to B(H)$, $\pi_B : B \to B(H)$ such that $\pi_A|_D = \pi_B|_D$.*

(2) $M = (\pi_A(A) \bigcup \pi_B(B))''$.

(3) *There exists a normal faithful conditional expectation $E : M \to D$ such that $E(\pi_A(x)) = \pi_A(E_A(x))$, $E(\pi_B(x)) = \pi_B(E_B(x))$.*

(4) *The von Neumann algebras $A$ and $B$ are free with amalgamation over $D$, i.e.,*

$$E(x_1 \cdots x_n) = 0.$$

*for $n \in \mathbf{N}$, $x_i \in \ker E \circ \pi_{C_i}$, $C_i = A, B$ for $i = 1, \cdots n$, $C_i \neq C_{i+1}$ for $i = 1, \cdots n-1$.*

*Moreover, this $M$ is unique in the following sence: if ($\pi_A^1$, $\pi_B^1$, $E_1$, $M_1$) and ($\pi_A^2$, $\pi_B^2$, $E_2$, $M_2$) satisfy the above conditions (1)–(4), then there exists a unique *-homomorphism $\phi : M_1 \to M_2$ with $\phi \circ \pi_A^1 = \pi_A^2$, $\phi \circ \pi_B^2 = \pi_B^2$, $\phi \circ E_1 = E_2 \circ \phi$.*

**Definition 7.** (Definition 2.4 of [33])

*The von Neumann algebra $M$ constructed above is said to be the amalgamated free product of $A$ and $B$ relative to $D$ and denoted by $A *_D B$.*

This definition depends on the choice of $E_A$ and $E_B$. However, if $D$ is a common Cartan subalgebra of $A$ and $B$, $E_A$ and $E_B$ are unique. Hence, in this case, $M$ depends only on the choice of $A$, $B$ and $D$. For our purpose, the following properties are very useful.

**Theorem 8.** (Theorem 4.3 of [33])

*If both $A$ and $B$ are of non-type I and if $D$ is a common Cartan subalgebra of $A$ and $B$, then $M$ is a factor.*

**Theorem 9.** (Theorem 8 of [34])

*We have $M_\omega = D^\omega \bigcap M' \subset D^\omega$. In particular, $M$ can never be a McDuff factor.*

## 3. Construction of Rohlin flows

3.1. **Construction of Rohlin flows.** Here we construct Rohlin flows on some amalgamated free product factors. Let $D = L^\infty(X, \mu)$ be a diffuse separable abelian von Neumann algebra, where $\mu$ is a probability measure. Choose a free ergodic $\mu$-preserving action $\alpha : \mathbf{Z} \curvearrowright D$. Then

$A := D \rtimes_\alpha \mathbf{Z} \supset D$ is a pair of the AFD type $\mathrm{II}_1$ factor and its Cartan subalgebra. There is a unique action $\alpha * \alpha : \mathbf{F}_2 \curvearrowright D$ which satisfies $\alpha * \alpha(a) = \alpha$, $\alpha * \alpha(b) = \alpha$, where $a$, $b$ are two generator of $\mathbf{F}_2$. Set $M := A *_D A$. Then $M$ is isomorphic to $D \rtimes_{\alpha*\alpha} \mathbf{F}_2$ because the latter satisfies conditions (1)–(4) of Theorem 6. This $M$ is a non-McDuff factor by Theorems 8 and 9.

**Proposition 10.** (See also Theorem 2.6 of [33])

*Let $\theta : \boldsymbol{R} \curvearrowright D$ be a flow commuting with $\alpha$. Let $\{u_t^i\}$ be $\theta$-cocycles $(i = 1, 2)$. Then we can extend $\theta$ to $M$ by $\theta_t(\lambda_a) = u_t^1\lambda_a$, $\theta_t(\lambda_b) = u_t^2\lambda_b$ for $t \in \boldsymbol{R}$.*

*Proof* . Fix $t \in \mathbf{R}$. Since $\theta_t$ commutes with $\alpha$, the injective homomorphisms $\pi_A : A \cong \{\{\lambda_a\} \cup D\}'' \hookrightarrow A *_D A$, $\pi_B : A \cong \{\{\lambda_b\} \cup D\}'' \hookrightarrow A *_D A$ satisfying the following are well-defined.

$\pi_A(\lambda_a) = u_t^1\lambda_a$, $\pi_B(\lambda_b) = u_t^2\lambda_b$, $\pi_A(x) = \pi_B(x) = \theta_t(x)$ for $x \in D$.

Since this $(\pi_A, \pi_B)$ satisfies conditions (1)–(4) of Theorem 6, there exists an automorphism $\theta_t$ of $A *_D A$ such that $\theta_t|_{\{\{\lambda_a\}\cup D\}''} = \pi_A$, $\theta_t|_{\{\{\lambda_a\}\cup D\}''} = \pi_B$. By using Theorem 1, the map $t \mapsto \theta_t$ is continuous with respect to the u-topology.

$\square$

For the above flows, we give a characterization of the Rohlin property. First, we study free actions of $\mathbf{R}\times\mathbf{Z}$ on the standard probability space.

**Definition 11.** (p.52 of [23]) *Let $G$ be a locally compact group and let $(X, \mu)$ be the diffuse standard probability space. A $\mu$-preserving action $R : G \curvearrowright (X, \mu)$ is said to be free if there exists a measuarable subeset $E$ of $X$ such that $\mu(X \setminus E) = 0$ and $G \ni g \mapsto R_g x \in X$ is injective for all $x \in E$.*

**Proposition 12.** *Let $R : \mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{Z} \curvearrowright X$ be a continuous action on a compact Hausdorff separable space $X$ (i.e., $\mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{Z} \times X \to X$ is continuous) and $\mu$ be a non-atomic Borel probability measure on $X$. Assume that $R$ is ergodic as an action on a measured space. Then the following two conditions are equivalent.*

1. *The action $R$ is not free.*
2. *There exists a non-zero $(t, n) \in \mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{Z}$ such that $R_{(t,n)} = \mathrm{id}_\Omega$.*

*Proof* . Since the implication (2) ⇒ (1) is trivial, we show the implication (1) ⇒ (2). Assume that condition (1) holds. First, we give an order on $\mathbf{R}_{\geq 0} \times \mathbf{Z}$. First we give a total order $\leq_\mathbf{Z}$ on $\mathbf{Z}$ so that $0 \in \mathbf{Z}$ is the smallest element. The order $\leq$ on $\mathbf{R}_{\geq 0} \times \mathbf{Z}$ is defined by $(t, n) \leq (s, m)$ if $n \leq_\mathbf{Z} m$, or $n =_\mathbf{Z} m$ and $t \leq s$. For each $x \in X$ with $(t, n) \mapsto R_{(t,n)}(x)$ being non-injective, there exist the smallest $(t_x, n_x) \in \mathbf{R}_{\geq 0} \times \mathbf{Z} \setminus \{(0, 0)\}$

satisfying $R_{(t_x,n_x)}x = x$ because $(t,n) \mapsto R_{(t,n)}x$ is continuous. Set $E_{(t,n)} := \{x \in X | (t_x, n_x) = (t,n)\}$. Then first we show the following claim.

**Claim 1.** For any interval $I = [(0,0),(t,n)]$ of $\mathbf{R}_{\geq 0} \times \mathbf{Z}$, the set $\bigcup_{(t,n)\in I} E_{(t,n)}$ is measurable.

*Proof of Claim 1.* Set $\tilde{R} : \mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{Z} \times X \to X \times X$ by $(t,n,x) \mapsto (R_{(n,t)}(x), x)$. Then this is continuous. So the inverse image of the diagonal set $\Delta$ of $X \times X$ by $\tilde{R}$ is closed. Hence $\tilde{R}^{-1}(\Delta) \cap (I \times X)$ is written as a countable union of compact sets. Hence the image $p\left(\tilde{R}^{-1}(\Delta) \cap (I \times X)\right)$ of this set by the projection $p : \mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{Z} \times X \to X$ is also written as a countable union of compact sets. Hence this is measurable. Since we have $\bigcup_{(t,n)\in I} E_{(t,n)} = p\left(\tilde{R}^{-1}(\Delta) \cap (I \times X)\right)$, we are done.

Next, we show the following claim.

**Claim 2.** There exists non-zero $(t,n) \in \mathbf{R}_{\geq 0} \times \mathbf{Z}$ with $\mu(E_{(t,n)}) > 0$.

*Proof of Claim 2.* If this were not true, by (1) and the Lebesgue monotone convergence theorem, there would exist $(t_0, n_0)$ satisfying

$$\mu\left(\bigcup_{(t,n)\leq(t_0,n_0)} E_{(t,n)}\right) = \mu\left(\bigcup_{(t,n)\in\mathbf{R}_{\geq 0}\times\mathbf{Z}} E_{(t,n)}\right)/2(>0).$$

However, since $\mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{Z}$ is abelian, $\bigcup_{(t,n)\leq(t_0,n_0)} E_{(t,n)}$ is preserved by Borel isomorphisms $R_{(t,n)}$ for all $(t,n) \in \mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{Z}$. This contradicts the ergodicity of the action $R$.

We now come back to the proof of Proposition 12. By Claim 2 and the proof of Claim 2, there exists non-zero $(t,n) \in \mathbf{R}_{\geq 0} \times \mathbf{Z}$ such that $\mu\left(E_{(t,n)}\right) = 1$. Hence we have condition (2). □

Next, we consider a Rohlin type theorem for $\mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{Z}$ actions on the standard probability space. The next theorem is very useful to study Rohlin property for flows on $M$. In appendix, we give a proof of this theorem which is proved with the same argument as in the proof of II § 3. Theorem 2 of Ornstein and Weiss [23].

**Theorem 13.** (See also II § 3, Theorem 2 of [23]) *Let $R$ be a $\mu$-preserving free ergodic action of $\boldsymbol{R} \times \boldsymbol{Z}$ on the standard probability space $(X, \mu)$. Then for any $\epsilon > 0$, for any $N \in \boldsymbol{N}$ and for any $T > 0$, there exists a Borel subset $Y \subset X$ with the following properties.*

(1) *The set $A := \bigcup_{|t|\leq T, |n|\leq N} R_{(t,n)}(Y)$ is Borel measurable and satisfies $\mu(A) > 1 - \epsilon$.*

(2) *There is a Borel isomorphism* $F : A \cong Y \times [-T, T] \times \{-N, \cdots, N\}$ *and a Borel measure* $\nu$ *on* $Y$ *such that*

$$\mu F^{-1} = \nu \otimes \text{Lebesgue measure} \otimes \text{counting measure}.$$

(3) *Under this identification, we have*

$$R_{(t,n)}(y, s, m) = (y, s + t, m + n)$$

*for* $y \in Y$, $|s + t| \leq T$, $|s| \leq T$, $m \in \{-N, \cdots, N\}$, $|m| \leq N$, $|n + m| \leq N$.

To investigate $\mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{Z}$ actions on the separable diffuse abelian von Neumann algebra, we use the following theorem.

**Theorem 14.** (Proposition XIII.1.2 of [31]) *Let* $\beta : G \curvearrowright A$ *be an action of a locally compact separable group* $G$ *on the diffuse separable abelian von Neumann algebra. Then there exists a compact separable space* $\Omega$*, a Borel measure* $\mu$ *on* $\Omega$*, an isomorphism* $\sigma : A \to L^\infty(\Omega, \mu)$ *and a non-singular continuous action* $R : G \curvearrowright \Omega$ *such that* $\sigma \circ \beta_g \circ \sigma^{-1}(f) = f \circ R_{g^{-1}}$ *for* $f \in L^\infty(\Omega, \mu)$.

**Definition 15.** *An action* $\beta : \mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{Z} \curvearrowright A$ *is said to be free if* $R : \mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{Z} \curvearrowright \Omega$ *in Theorem 14 is free. This definition is independent on the choise of* $(\Omega, R)$ *because of Proposition 12.*

**Theorem 16.** *For flows constructed in Proposition 10, consider the following five conditions.*

(1) *The flow* $\theta$ *has the Rohlin property.*
(2) *The action* $\{(\theta_t|_D)\alpha_n\}_{(t,n)\in \boldsymbol{R}\times\boldsymbol{Z}}$ *is free on* $D$.
(3) *The flow* $\theta$ *is centrally free.*
(4) *The flow* $\theta$ *is centrally free and has full Connes spectrum.*
(5) *The flow* $\theta$ *is strongly outer.*

*Then we have implications* (1) $\Leftrightarrow$ (2) $\Leftrightarrow$ (3) $\Leftrightarrow$ (4) $\Rightarrow$ (5).

*Proof* . The implications (1) $\Rightarrow$ (4) $\Rightarrow$ (3) and (1) $\Rightarrow$ (5) follow from Theorem 3. Hence it suffices to show the implications (3) $\Rightarrow$(2) and (2) $\Rightarrow$ (1).

First, we show the implication (3) $\Rightarrow$ (2). Assume that condition (2) does not hold. Then by Theorem 12, there exists $(t, n) \neq 0$ such that $\theta_t = \alpha_n$. We have $t \neq 0$ because $\alpha$ is ergodic. Hence for $x \in M_\omega \subset D^\omega$, we have $\theta_t(x) = \lambda_{a^n} x \lambda_{a^{-n}} = x$, which implies that condition (3) does not hold.

Next, we show the implication (2) $\Rightarrow$ (1). Suppose that $\{\theta_t \alpha_n\}_{(t,n)\in\mathbf{R}\times\mathbf{Z}}$ is free. Fix $n \in \mathbf{N}$. It is enough to construct a sequence $\{u_n\}$ of unitary elements of $D$ such that

(i) $\mu\left(|\theta_t(u_n) - e^{-ipt}u_n|^2\right) < n^{-2}$ for $|t| < n$,

(ii) $\mu\left(|\alpha(u_n)-u_n|^2\right)<n^{-2}$.

Assume that we have these $u_n$'s. Then by condition (ii), $\{u_n\}$ assymptotically commutes with $\lambda_a$ and $\lambda_b$. Hence by Theorem 9, $\{u_n\}$ is a centralizing sequence. By using condition (i), we have $\theta_t\left(\{u_n\}\right)=e^{-ipt}\{u_n\}$ for $t\in\mathbf{R}$.

Regard $X$ as a compact Hausdorff separable space and let $S:\mathbf{R}\curvearrowright(X,\mu)$ and $T:\mathbf{Z}\curvearrowright(X,\mu)$ be continuous actions satisfying the following equations.

$$f\left(S_t(x)\right)=\theta_{-t}(f)(x)$$

for $t\in\mathbf{R}$, $x\in X$,

$$f\left(T(x)\right)=\alpha^{-1}(f)(x)$$

for $x\in X$ (See Theorem 14). By using Theorem 13 for $T:=8n^3$, $N:=8n^2$, $\epsilon:=1/8n^2$, $R_{(s,m)}:=S_sT_m$, there exists a Borel subset $Y\subset X$ satisfying the conditions in Theorem 13.

Set

$$u_n(y,s,m):=\begin{cases}e^{ips} & \text{for } (y,s,m)\in A,\\ 1 & \text{for } x\in X\backslash A.\end{cases}$$

Then by condition (3) of Theorem 13, we have

$$(\theta_t(u_n)-e^{-ipt}u_n)(x)=0 \text{ for } x\in\{(y,s,m)\in A|\ |s|\leq T-n\}.$$

Hence we have

$$\begin{aligned}\mu(|\theta_t(u_n)-e^{-ipt}u_n|^2)&\leq 4\mu(X\backslash\{(y,s,m)\in A|\ |s|\leq T-n\})\\&=4\mu(X\backslash A)+\mu(\{(y,s,m)\in A|\ |s|>T-n\})\\&\leq 4(\epsilon+n/T)=n^{-2}.\end{aligned}$$

By similar computation to this, we have

$$\mu(|\alpha(u_n)-u_n|^2)<n^{-2}.$$

□

By this theorem, it is possible to see that there exists Rohlin flows on the factor $M$.

*Example* 17. Let $D=L^\infty(\mathbf{T}^2)$ and let $\alpha:\mathbf{Z}\curvearrowright D$ be an action defined by

$$\alpha(f)(r,s)=f(r-1/\sqrt{2},s-1/\sqrt{3})$$

for $(r,s)\in\mathbf{T}^2$, $f\in D$. Then $D\rtimes_{\alpha*\alpha}\mathbf{F}_2$ is isomorphic to $M$. By Theorem 10, we can define a flow $\theta^{\lambda,\mu,p,q}:\mathbf{R}\curvearrowright D\rtimes_{\alpha*\alpha}\mathbf{F}_2$ by

$$\theta_t^{\lambda,\mu,p,q}(f)(r,s)=f(r-pt,s-qt)$$

for $(r,s)\in\mathbf{T}^2$, $f\in D$, $t\in\mathbf{R}$,

$$\theta_t^{\lambda,\mu,p,q}(\lambda_a)=e^{i\lambda t}\lambda_a, \qquad \theta_t^{\lambda,\mu,p,q}(\lambda_b)=e^{i\mu t}\lambda_b$$

for $t\in\mathbf{R}$. This $\theta^{\lambda,\mu,p,q}$ has the Rohlin property if and only if

$$(p,q)\neq r(n/\sqrt{2}-m, n/\sqrt{3}-l) \tag{1}$$

for any $r\in\mathbf{R}$, $n,m,l\in\mathbf{Z}$.

*Proof* . To show this, by using Theoem 16, it is enough to show that $\{\theta_t^{\lambda,\mu,p,q}|_D\alpha_n\}$ is free if and only if (1) holds. By Theorem 12, $\{\theta_t^{\lambda,\mu,p,q}|_D\alpha_n\}$ is not free if and only if $\theta_t^{\lambda,\mu,p,q}|_D=\alpha_n$ for some $(t,n)\neq(0,0)$. For $(t,n)\in\mathbf{R}\times\mathbf{Z}$, $\theta_t^{\lambda,\mu,p,q}|_D=\alpha_n$ if and only if $pt=n/\sqrt{2}+m$ and $qt=n/\sqrt{3}+l$ for some $m,l\in\mathbf{Z}$. Hence $\{\theta_t^{\lambda,\mu,p,q}|_D\alpha_n\}$ is not free if and only if $(p,q)\neq(n/\sqrt{2}+m, n/\sqrt{3}+l)/t$ for all $t\in\mathbf{R},n,m,l\in\mathbf{Z}$. Hence we are done. $\square$

The implication (5) ⇒ (1) in Theorem 16 does not hold. To see this, first, we recall the notion of minimality of actions.

**Definition 18.** (Definition 6.11 of [21]) *An action $\theta$ of a locally compact group $G$ on a von Neumann algebra $M$ is said to be minimal if $\theta$ is faithful and satisfies $(M^\theta)'\cap M=\mathbf{C}$.*

By Takesaki's duality theorem, minimality implies strong outerness. Hence, in order to show that the implication (5) ⇒ (1) of Theorem 16, it is enough to construct a minimal flow without the Rohlin property. To do this, we use the uniqueness of Fourier expansion.

**Definition 19.** *Let $D$ be a von Neumann algebra on a Hilbert space $H$ and let $G$ be a countable discrete group. Consider the crossed product algebra $D\rtimes_\alpha G$ by an action $\alpha: G\curvearrowright D$ (this needs to be neither free nor ergodic). Set $p: l^2(G)\otimes H\ni\xi\mapsto\xi(e)\in H$. For $x\in D\rtimes_\alpha G$ and $g\in G$, set $x_g:=px\lambda_{g^{-1}}p\in pDp$. We formally write $x=\sum_g x_g\lambda_g$ and call this the Fourier expansion of $x$.*

**Proposition 20.** *Let $x=\sum_g x_g\lambda_g\in D\rtimes_\alpha G$. Then we have the following:*

(1) *(uniqueness) If $x_g=0$ for all $g\in G$, we have $x=0$.*

(2) *$\sum_g\parallel x_g\parallel_2^2<\infty$ (Although $\sum_g x_g\lambda_g$ does not converge in weak topology).*

*Proof* . (1) We have $(x(\xi\otimes\delta_{g^{-1}}),\eta\otimes\delta_e)=(px\lambda_{g-1}p(\xi\otimes\delta_e),\eta\otimes\delta_e)=0$ for all $\xi$, $\eta\in H$, $g\in G$.

(2) Let $e_g : L^2(\mathbf{T}) \otimes l^2\mathbf{F}_2 \to L^2(\mathbf{T}) \otimes \mathbf{C}\delta_g$ be the projection. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}(e_g x(1 \otimes \delta_e), \eta \otimes \delta_g) &= (\lambda_g e \lambda_{g^{-1}} x(1 \otimes \delta_e), \eta \otimes \delta_g)\\ &= (e\lambda_{g^{-1}} x \lambda_g \lambda_{g^{-1}} e(1 \otimes \delta_e), \eta \otimes \delta_e)\\ &= ((\lambda_{g^{-1}}(x)\lambda_g)_g, \eta)\\ &= (\alpha_{g^{-1}}(x_g), \eta)\end{aligned}$$

for any $\eta \in L^2(\mathbf{T})$. Hence we have $e_g x(1 \otimes \delta_e) = (\alpha g^{-1}(x_g)) \otimes \delta_g$. Hence we have $\infty > \| x(1 \otimes \delta_e) \|^2 = \sum_{h \in G} \| e_h x(1 \otimes \delta_e) \|^2 = \sum_{h \in G} \mu(|\alpha_{h^{-1}}(x_h)|^2) = \sum_{h \in G} \mu(|x_h|^2)$. □

*Example* 21. Regard $D$ as $L^\infty(\mathbf{T})$ and $\alpha : \mathbf{Z} \curvearrowright L^\infty(\mathbf{T})$ as $\alpha(f)(s) = f(s - t/\sqrt{3})$. Define $\theta$ as follows.

$$\theta_t(f)(s) := f(s - t/\sqrt{2})$$

for $f \in D$ ,

$$\theta_t(\lambda_a) := e^{2\pi i(3t)}\lambda_a,$$

$$\theta_t(\lambda_b) := e^{2\pi i(3t/2)}\lambda_b.$$

Then this $\theta$ is strongly outer but does not has the Rohlin property.

*Proof* . By the implications (1) $\Leftrightarrow$ (2) of Theorem 16, $\theta$ does not have the Rohlin property. We show the minimality of $\theta$. It is easy to see that the flow $\theta$ is faithful. We show $(M^\theta)' \cap M = \mathbf{C}$. Take $x \in (M^\theta)' \cap M$. Let $x = \sum_{g \in \mathbf{F}_2} x_g \lambda_g$ be the Fourier expansion of $x$. Put $c := ab^{-2}$, $d := b^{-2}a$. Then since $x$ commutes with $\lambda_c$, we have

$$\sum_g \alpha^{-1}(x_g)\lambda_{cg} = \lambda_c x = x\lambda_c = \sum_g x_{cgc^{-1}}\lambda_{cg}.$$

Hence we have $\alpha^{-1}(x_g) = x_{cgc^{-1}}$, and hence $\alpha^{-1}(|x_g|^2) = |x_{cgc^{-1}}|^2$. Since $\alpha$ preserves $\mu$, $\mu(|x_h|^2)$ is constant on $h \in \{c^n g c^{-n}\}_{n \in \mathbf{Z}}$ for all $g \in G$. Hence by Proposition 20, we have $x_g = 0$ if $g \neq c^n$ for some $n \in \mathbf{Z}$. Since $x$ commutes with $\lambda_d$, $x_g = 0$ if $g \neq d^n$ for some $n$ by the same argument as above. Hence we have $x \in D$. Since $x$ commutes with $\lambda_c$, $x$ must be a scalar because $\alpha$ is ergodic. Hence $\theta$ is minimal. □

As in Theorem 6.12 of Masuda and Tomatsu [21], for flows on the AFD $\mathrm{II}_1$ factor, minimality and strong outerness imply the Rohlin property. However, this does not hold for our flows by the above example.

3.2. **Examples of factors admitting Rohlin flows.** Next, we consider the following type question:

What amalgamated free product factors admit Rohlin flows ?

For simplicity, we consider the following situation:

Let $A := D \rtimes_\alpha G$ for some non-singular free ergodic action $\alpha : G \curvearrowright D$. We consider when $M := D \rtimes_{\alpha * \alpha} (G * G)$ $(\cong A *_D A)$ admits a Rohlin flow. Of course, full factors cannot admit Rohlin flows by Definition 2. The condition that a given amalgamated free product factor relative to a common Cartan subalgebra is full is studied by Ueda [34]. The following is a part of his theorem:

**Theorem 22.** (Corollaly 10 of [34])

*The factor $M$ is full if and only if $\alpha$ is strongly ergodic, that is, for any sequence of Borel sets $\{B_n\}$ in $X$ with $\mu(sB_n \triangle B_n) \to 0$ for all $s \in G$ satisfies $\mu(B_n)(1 - \mu(B_n)) \to 0$.*

For detail of strong ergodicity, see Schimidt [26], [27]. By the above theorem, non-strong ergodicity of $G \curvearrowright (X, \mu)$ is a necessary condition for $M$ to admit a Rohlin flow.

*Example* 23. If $G$ has property (T), then every free ergodic action $G \curvearrowright (X, \mu)$ is strongly ergodic as in Proposition 2.10 of Schmidt [27]. Hence $D \rtimes_{\alpha * \alpha} (G * G)$ is a full factor.

Next, we give a sufficient condition for these factors to admit a Rohlin flow. First, we recall the notion of stability.

**Definition 24** (Definition 3.1 of [7])**.** *An action $T : G \curvearrowright X$ is said to be stable if it is orbit equivalent to the action $T \times S : G \times \boldsymbol{Z} \curvearrowright X \times Y$, where $S : \boldsymbol{Z} \curvearrowright Y$ is the amenable free ergodic probability measure preserving action.*

**Theorem 25.** *Assume that the action $\alpha$ is stable, then $M := A *_D A$ has Rohlin flows.*

*Proof* . To see this, first, we note that

$$A *_D A \cong (L^\infty(X) \overline{\otimes} L^\infty(Y)) \rtimes_{(\alpha \times \gamma) * (\alpha \times \gamma)} ((G \times \mathbf{Z}) * (G \times \mathbf{Z})) . \quad (2)$$

We denote by $\gamma$ the amenable free ergodic probability measure preserving action. Since $\alpha$ is orbit equivalent to $\alpha \times \gamma : (G * H) \times \mathbf{Z} \curvearrowright L^\infty(X) \overline{\otimes} L^\infty(Y)$, there exists an isomorphism

$$\pi : A \cong (L^\infty(X) \overline{\otimes} L^\infty(Y)) \rtimes_{\alpha \times \gamma} (G \times \mathbf{Z})$$

such that $\pi \circ E_D^A = E_{L^\infty(X)\overline{\otimes}L^\infty(Y)}^{(L^\infty(X)\overline{\otimes}L^\infty(Y))\rtimes_{\alpha\times\gamma}(G\times\mathbf{Z})} \circ \pi$. Set

$$\begin{aligned}\pi_A : A &\xrightarrow{\pi} (L^\infty(X)\overline{\otimes}L^\infty(Y)) \rtimes_{\alpha\times\gamma} (G\times\mathbf{Z})\\ &\cong (L^\infty(X)\overline{\otimes}L^\infty(Y)) \rtimes_{(\alpha\times\gamma)*id} ((G\times\mathbf{Z}) * \{e\})\\ &\hookrightarrow (L^\infty(X)\overline{\otimes}L^\infty(Y)) \rtimes_{(\alpha\times\gamma)*(\alpha\times\gamma)} ((G\times\mathbf{Z}) * (G\times\mathbf{Z})),\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\pi_B : A &\xrightarrow{\pi} (L^\infty(X)\overline{\otimes}L^\infty(Y)) \rtimes_{\alpha\times\gamma} (G\times\mathbf{Z})\\ &\cong (L^\infty(X)\overline{\otimes}L^\infty(Y)) \rtimes_{id*(\alpha\times\gamma)} (\{e\} * (G\times\mathbf{Z}))\\ &\hookrightarrow (L^\infty(X)\overline{\otimes}L^\infty(Y)) \rtimes_{(\alpha\times\gamma)*(\alpha\times\gamma)} ((G\times\mathbf{Z}) * (G\times\mathbf{Z})).\end{aligned}$$

Then this $(\pi_A, \pi_B)$ satisfies conditions (1)–(4) in Theorem 6, equality (2) holds. Take $\theta : \mathbf{R} \curvearrowright L^\infty(Y)$ so that $\theta$ commuts with $\gamma$ and that $\{\theta_t\gamma_n\}_{(t,n)\in\mathbf{R}\times\mathbf{Z}}$ is free. The flow $id \otimes \theta : \mathbf{R} \curvearrowright L^\infty(X\times Y)$ extends to $A *_D A$ by the same argument as in the proof of Proposition 10. By the same argument as in the proof of the implication (1) $\Rightarrow$ (2) of Theorem 16, these flows have the Rohlin property. $\square$

*Example* 26. A countable amenable group action $\alpha : G \curvearrowright X$ is stable. Hence if $D \subset A$ is a pair of non-type I AFD factor and its Cartan subalgebra, then $M := A *_D A$ admits a Rohlin flow. The flow of weight of $A$ and that of $M$ coincide as in Corollary 5.8 of Ueda [33]. Hence for any flow $F$, there exists a factor $M$ of above type with its flow of weight $F$.

A group with a direct summand isomorphic to $\mathbf{Z}$ always admits a stable action. Other interesting examples of stable actions are constructed by Kida [15].

By their definition, stability implies non-strong ergodicity. However, the converse is not true. A group which is not inner amenable, which is defined in [4], does not admit stable action as in Proposition 4.1 of [7]. Let $G$ be a group which has neither inner amenability nor property (T) (e.g., $\mathbf{F}_2$, $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbf{Z})$ as shown in [4]). Then there exists an action $\alpha : G \curvearrowright (X,\mu)$ which is neither stable nor strongly ergodic. We do not know, for these action $\alpha$, $D \rtimes_{\alpha*\alpha} (G * G)$ admits a Rohlin flow or not. We close this subsection by giving an examples of a factor $D \rtimes_{\alpha*\alpha} (G * G)$ constructed by these $\alpha$ admitting a Rohlin flow. The following action $\alpha : G \curvearrowright D$ is considered in Corollary B of Houdayer and Vaes [6].

*Example* 27. Let $G$ is a non-inner amenable group. Assume there exists a quotient map $\pi : G \to \mathbf{Z}$ (hence does not have property (T)). Let $S : G \curvearrowright (X,\mu)$ be a free action such that $S|_{\ker\pi}$ is ergodic. Set $R$ :

$G \curvearrowright (X\times X, \mu\otimes\mu)$ be an action defined by $R_g(x,y) = (T_{\pi(g)}(x), S_g(y))$, where $T$ is a free ergodic action. Then $\alpha = S^* : G \curvearrowright (X \times X, \mu \otimes \mu)$ is free ergodic, non-stable (to show freeness, we use the Fubini theorem.). However, it is possible to construct Rohlin flows on $D \rtimes_{\alpha*\alpha} (G * G)$, $D \rtimes_\alpha G$ by the same argument as above.

## 4. Classification of Rohlin flows

4.1. **Classification up to strongly cocycle conjugacy.** In section 3, we have shown that there are Rohlin flows on non-McDuff factors. Hence the classification theorem of Masuda and Tomatsu is useful for flows non-McDuff factors. Here we actually classify Rohlin flows constructed in the above section, up to strong cocycle conjugacy.

To do the classification, the following theorem plays an important role.

**Theorem 28.** (Lemma 2.1 of [25], Theorem 5 of [34])

*Let $\mu$ be a normal faithful state on $D$ and let $v$, $w \in U(A_{\mu\circ E_A})$, $x \in M^\omega$. Assume that $E_A(v^n) = 0$, $E_A(w^n) = 0$ $(n \neq 0)$, $vDv^* = D = wDw^*$, $x = vxw^*$. Then for $y_1, y_2 \in \ker E_B$, we have*

$$\| y_1x - xy_2 \|^2_{(\mu\circ E)^\omega} \geq \| y_1(x - E^\omega(x)) \|^2_{(\mu\circ E)^\omega} + \| (x - E^\omega(x))y_2 \|^2_{(\mu\circ E)^\omega},$$

*where $(\mu \circ E)^\omega : M^\omega \to \mathbf{C}$, $E^\omega : M^\omega \to D^\omega$ are maps induced by $\mu \circ E$ and $E$, respectively.*

**Theorem 29.** *Fix $D$, $\alpha$. Then Rohlin flows constructed in Theorem 16 is completely classified by an invariant $\{\theta|_D, u^1_t u^{2*}_t\}$, up to strong cocycle conjugacy.*

*Proof* . Thanks to Theorem 5, the classification theorem of Rohlin flows, it is enough to show that an automorphism $\theta$ which preserves $D$ and satisfies $\theta(\lambda_a) = u^1\lambda_a$, $\theta(\lambda_b) = u^2\lambda_b$ ($u^1$, $u^2 \in U(D)$) is approximately inner if and only if $\theta|_D = id$, $u^1 = u^2$. This is shown in the proof of Theorem 14 of Ueda [34] in a more general setting. Here we give the proof briefly.

First, we show the "only if" part. Assume that $\theta$ is approximately inner. Then there exists $\{u_n\} \in M^\omega$ such that $\theta = \lim_{n\to\infty} \mathrm{Ad}u_n$ in the u-topology. Then by using Theorem 28 for $v = \lambda_a$, $w = u^{1*}\lambda_a$, $y_1 = \lambda_b$, $y_2 = u^{2*}\lambda_b$, $x = \{u_n\}$, we have $\{u_n\} \in D^\omega$, which implies that $\theta|_D = id$. Hence we have

$$u^1 = \theta(\lambda_a)\lambda_a^* = \lim_{n\to\omega} u_n\lambda_a u_n^*\lambda_a^* = \lim_{n\to\omega} u_n\alpha(u_n^*) = \lim_{n\to\omega} u_n\lambda_b^* u_n^*\lambda_b = u^2.$$

Next, we show the "if" part. Assume that $\theta|_D = id$, $u^1 = u^2$. We construct $\{u_n\} \in D^\omega$ such that $u_n\alpha(u_n^*) \to u^1$. For this, by using the

Rohlin lemma for $\alpha$, there exists a partition $\{e_k\}_{k=0}^{n} \subset \mathrm{Proj}(D)$ of unity in $D$ such that $\alpha(e_k) = e_{k+1}$ for $k = 1, \cdots, n-1$, $\mu(e_0) < 1/(n+1)$. Set $u_n = \sum_{k=0}^{n} v^k e_k$, $v_1 = u^1$, $v_{k+1} = \alpha(v_k)u^1$. Then we have $u_n\alpha(u_n^*) \to u^1$. Hence we have $u_n\lambda_a u_n^* \to u^1\lambda_a = \theta(\lambda_a)$, $u_n\lambda_b u_n^* \to u^2\lambda_b = \theta(\lambda_b)$, which implies that $\mathrm{Ad}u_n \to \theta$ in the u-topology by Theorem 1. $\square$

*Example* 30. The Rohlin flows considered in Example 17 are completely classified by $(p, q, \lambda - \mu)$, up to strong cocycle conjugacy.

4.2. **Difference Between Cocycle Conjugacy and Strong Cocycle Conjugacy.** By Theorem 16, “almost all” Rohlin flows in the theorem are different, up to strong cocycle conjugacy. We interpret that this is because $\overline{\mathrm{Int}}(M)$ is too small. So we would like to know the difference between cocycle conjugacy and strong cocycle conjugacy. Here we give an example of a pair of two flows which are cocycle conjugate but not strongly cocycle conjugate.

For a flow $\alpha$ on a von Neumann algebra $A$, denote by $\mathrm{Sp}_d(\alpha)$ the set of all eigenvalues of $\alpha$. If $\alpha$ is ergodic, then $\mathrm{Sp}_d(\alpha)$ is a subgroup of $\mathbf{R}$.

**Theorem 31.** *Let $\theta^{\lambda_1,\mu_1,p_1,q_1}$ and $\theta^{\lambda_2,\mu_2,p_2,q_2}$ be two Rohlin flows considered in Example 17. Then they are cocycle conjugate if there exist $r \in \boldsymbol{R}$ and $c, d \in Sp_d(\theta|_D)$ such that one of the following conditions holds.*

(1) *We have $(p_1, q_1) = (p_2, q_2)$ and*

$$\begin{pmatrix} \lambda_2 \\ \mu_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 \\ \mu_1 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} r \\ r \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} c \\ d \end{pmatrix}.$$

(2) *We have $(p_1, q_1) = -(p_2, q_2)$ and*

$$\begin{pmatrix} \lambda_2 \\ \mu_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 1 & -2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 \\ \mu_1 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} r \\ r \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} c \\ d \end{pmatrix}.$$

*Proof* . Assume that one of the above conditions holds. First, consider the case condition (2) holds. Let $\sigma$ be an automorphism defined by

$$\sigma(f)(s, t) := f(-s, -t)$$

for $f \in D$. We show the following claim.

**Claim.** The automorphism $\sigma$ extends to $M$ by

$$\sigma(\lambda_a) = \lambda_{b^{-1}}, \qquad \sigma(\lambda_b) = \lambda_{ab^{-2}}.$$

*Proof of Claim.* Let $\beta : \mathbf{Z} \curvearrowright D$ be an action defined by $\beta(f) = f(\cdot + 1/\sqrt{2}, \cdot + 1/\sqrt{3})$. Then we have $\sigma \circ \beta \circ \sigma^{-1} = \alpha$. Hence by Lemma 7.5 of Takesaki [30], there exists an isomorphism $\pi_A : D \rtimes_\alpha \mathbf{Z} \to D \rtimes_\beta \mathbf{Z} \cong D \rtimes_{\alpha * \alpha} (b^{-1})^{\mathbf{Z}}$ satisfying $D \ni f \mapsto \sigma(f)$, $\lambda_a \mapsto \lambda_{b^{-1}}$. Similaly, there exists an isomorphism $\pi_A : D \rtimes_\alpha \mathbf{Z} \to D \rtimes_\beta \mathbf{Z} \cong D \rtimes_{\alpha * \alpha} (ab^{-2})^{\mathbf{Z}}$ satisfying $D \ni f \mapsto \sigma(f)$, $\lambda_a \mapsto \lambda_{ab^{-2}}$. Then this $(\pi_A, \pi_B)$ satisfies conditions (1)–(4) of Theorem 6, the claim holds.

Since $\sigma^{-1} \circ \theta^{\lambda_1, \mu_1, p_1, q_1}|_D \circ \sigma = \theta^{-\mu_1, \lambda_1 - 2\mu_1, -p_1, -q_1}$, by perturbating by $\sigma$, it is enough to show the case condition (1) holds. Let $u$, $v$ be unitary elements of $D$ such that $\theta^{\lambda_1, \mu_1, p_1, q_1}(u) = e^{ict} u$, $\theta^{\lambda_1, \mu_1, p_1, q_1}(v) = e^{idt} v$. Then the identity map of $D$ extends to $M$ by $\sigma(\lambda_a) = u\lambda_a$, $\sigma(\lambda_b) = v\lambda_b$. By perturbating by $\sigma$, we may assume that $c = d = 0$. Hence by using Theorem 16, $\theta^{\lambda_1, \mu_1, p_1, q_1}$ and $\theta^{\lambda_2, \mu_2, p_2, q_2}$ are cocycle conjugate. $\square$

By example 30, the flows considered in the above theorem are strongly cocycle conjugate if and only if $\lambda_1 - \mu_1 = \lambda_2 - \mu_2$, $p_1 = p_2$ and $q_1 = q_2$. Hence, for these flows, cocycle conjugacy and strongly cocycle conjugacy do not coincide.

### 4.3. **Classification up to conjugacy.**

Next, we deal with a conjugate type classification.

**Definition 32.** *Let $\alpha, \beta : \boldsymbol{R} \curvearrowright M := A *_D B$ be two flows on an amalgamated free product von Neumann algebra $M$ which preserve $D$. The flows $\alpha$ and $\beta$ are said to be conjugate relative to $D$ if there exists $\theta \in Aut(M)$ such that*

$$\theta(D) = D, \qquad \beta = \theta \circ \alpha \circ \theta^{-1}.$$

We classify some of Rohlin flows constructed in Theorem 16, up to conjugacy relative to $D$.

**Theorem 33.** *Let $\theta^1$ and $\theta^2$ be two Rohlin flows such that*

$$\theta^i(D) = D,\ \theta^i_t(\lambda_a) = e^{i\lambda_i t}\lambda_a,\ \theta^i_t(\lambda_b) = e^{i\mu_i t}\lambda_b.$$

*for some $(\lambda_i, \mu_i) \in \boldsymbol{R}^2$, $i = 1, 2$. Consider the following two conditions.*

(1) *The flows $\theta^1$ and $\theta^2$ are conjugate relative to $D$.*

(2) *The following holds.*

(i) *There exists $S = \begin{pmatrix} p & q \\ r & s \end{pmatrix} \in GL_2(\boldsymbol{Z})$ such that*

$$\begin{pmatrix} \lambda_2 \\ \mu_2 \end{pmatrix} = S \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 \\ \mu_1 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$p + q = r + s.$$

(ii) *There exists an automorphism $\sigma$ of $D$ which preserves $D$ such that*

$$\theta^1|_D = \sigma \circ \theta^2|_D \circ \sigma^{-1},\ \sigma \circ \alpha \circ \sigma^{-1} = \alpha^{p+q}.$$

*Then we have the implication* (2)⇒(1)*. Moreover, if we assume that* $\theta^i|_D$*'s are ergodic and* $\theta^i|_D$*'s have no non-zero eigenvalues, then the implication* (1)⇒(2) *holds.*

Before starting the proof of this theorem, we prepare the following three lemmas.

**Lemma 34.** *Let $D$ be the diffuse separable abelian von Neumann algebra. Let $\alpha$ be a free ergodic automorphism of $D$. Let $\{t_n\} \subset [0,1)$ be a sequence such that $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} t_n = 1$. If $\sum_{n=o}^{\infty} t_n\alpha_n$ is an automorphism, then $t_n = 0$ except for only one $n$.*

*Proof* . There exists a compact Hausdorff space $Y$ such that $D \cong C(Y)$. Let $T$ be an automorphism of $Y$ such that

$$f \circ T^{-1} = \alpha(f)$$

for all $f \in D$. Arrange $\{t_n\}$ so that $t_{n_1} \geq t_{n_2} \geq \cdots$. Assume that $t_{n_2} \neq 0$. Then there exists $k \in \mathbf{N}$ such that

$$t_{n_1}t_{n_2} > \sum_{l=k}^{\infty} t_l.$$

There exists $y \in Y$ such that $T^{-l}y \neq T^{-m}y$ for any $l \neq m$, $0 \leq l, m \leq k$. By using Urysohn's lemma, there exist $f_1, f_2 \in C(Y)$ satisfying

$$0 \leq f_i \leq 1,\ f_i(T^{-n_i}y) = 1,\ f_i(T^{-l}y) = 0 \text{ for } l \in \{0, 1, \cdots, k\}\backslash\{n_i\}.$$

Since $\sum_{n=o}^{\infty} t_n\alpha_n$ is an automorphism, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{l=k}^{\infty} t_l &\geq \sum_{n=o}^{\infty} t_n\alpha_n(f_1f_2)(y) \\ &= \left(\sum_{n=o}^{\infty} t_n\alpha_n(f_1)(y)\right)\left(\sum_{n=o}^{\infty} t_n\alpha_n(f_2)(y)\right) \geq t_{n_1}t_{n_2},\end{aligned}$$

which is a contradiction. □

Next, we consider group homomorphisms $n_a : \mathbf{F}_2 \to a^{\mathbf{Z}} \cong \mathbf{Z}$, $n_b : \mathbf{F}_2 \to b^{\mathbf{Z}} \cong \mathbf{Z}$ such that $n_a(a) = 1$, $n_a(b) = 0$, $n_b(a) = 0$, $n_b(b) = 1$.

**Lemma 35.** *Let $p$, $q$, $r$, $s \in \boldsymbol{R}$ and let $\{g_n\}$, $\{h_n\}$ be two sequences in $\boldsymbol{F}_2$ such that*

$$n_a(g_n) = p,\ n_b(g_n) = q,\ n_a(h_n) = r,\ n_b(h_n) = s \textit{ for all } n.$$

*Assume that $\{g_n\} \cup \{h_n\}$ generates $\boldsymbol{F}_2$. Then we have*

$$\begin{pmatrix} p & q \\ r & s \end{pmatrix} \in \mathrm{GL}_2(\boldsymbol{Z}).$$

*Proof* . By the assumption that $\{g_n\} \cup \{h_n\}$ generates $\mathbf{F}_2$, $a$, $b$ are written as

$$a = \prod_{k=1}^{N} (f_{n_k})^{i_k}, \ b = \prod_{l=1}^{N} (f_{m_l})^{j_l},$$

respectively, where $f$ is either $g$ or $h$. Set

$$x := \sum_{f_{n_k}=g_{n_k}} i_k, \ y := \sum_{f_{n_k}=h_{n_k}} i_k, \ z := \sum_{f_{m_l}=g_{m_l}} j_l, \ w := \sum_{f_{m_l}=h_{m_l}} j_l.$$

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} n_a(a) &= \sum_k i_k n_a(f_{n_k}) \\ &= \sum_{f_{n_k}=g_{n_k}} i_k n_a(g_{n_k}) + \sum_{f_{n_k}=h_{n_k}} i_k n_b(h_{n_k}) = xp + yr. \end{aligned}$$

By the same computation as above, we have

$$n_a(b) = zp + wr, \ n_b(a) = xq + ys, \ n_b(b) = zq + ws.$$

Hence we have

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} n_a(a) & n_b(a) \\ n_a(b) & n_b(b) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} x & y \\ z & w \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} p & q \\ r & s \end{pmatrix}.$$

This implies that

$$\begin{pmatrix} p & q \\ r & s \end{pmatrix}$$

is invertible in $M_2(\mathbf{Z})$. □

**Lemma 36.** *For $S \in \mathrm{GL}_2(\boldsymbol{Z})$, there exists a pair $\{g, h\}$ of independent two elements of $\boldsymbol{F}_2$ which generates $\boldsymbol{F}_2$ and satisfies*

$$S = \begin{pmatrix} n_a(g) & n_a(h) \\ n_b(g) & n_b(h) \end{pmatrix}.$$

*The maps $a \mapsto g$, $b \mapsto h$ are automatically injective.*

*Proof* . Recall that $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbf{Z})$ is generated by

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

We easily find $\{g, h\}$ as above for these matrices. On the other hand, by the same computation as above lemma, we have

$$\begin{pmatrix} n_a(k) & n_b(k) \\ n_a(l) & n_b(l) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} n_g(k) & n_h(k) \\ n_g(l) & n_h(l) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} n_a(g) & n_b(g) \\ n_a(h) & n_b(h) \end{pmatrix}.$$

for $g$, $h \in \mathbf{F}_2$, $k$, $l \in$ (the subgroup generated by $g$ and $h$). Hence it is possible to find $\{g, h\}$ for all $S \in \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbf{Z})$. □

We prove Theorem 33.

*Proof* . First, we show the implication (2)⇒(1). By using the above lemma, it is possible to find $\{g, h\}$ satisfying

$$S = \begin{pmatrix} n_a(g) & n_b(g) \\ n_a(h) & n_b(h) \end{pmatrix}.$$

Let $\sigma$ be an automorphism of $D$ such that

$$\sigma \circ \alpha \circ \sigma^{-1} = \alpha^{p+q}.$$

We extend this $\sigma$ to an automorphism of $M$. Since

$$\sigma \circ (\alpha * \alpha)_a \circ \sigma^{-1} = \sigma \circ \alpha \circ \sigma^{-1} = \alpha^{p+q} = (\alpha * \alpha)_g,$$

there exists an isomorphism $\phi_A$ from $D \rtimes_{\alpha*\alpha} a^{\mathbf{Z}}$ to $D \rtimes_{\alpha*\alpha} g^{\mathbf{Z}}$ such that $\phi_A(x) = \sigma(x)$ for $x \in D$, $\phi_A(\lambda_a) = \lambda_g$ by using Lemma 7.5 of Takesaki [30]. Set

$$\pi_A : D \rtimes_{\alpha*\alpha} a^{\mathbf{Z}} \overset{\phi_A}{\to} D \rtimes_{\alpha*\alpha} g^{\mathbf{Z}} \hookrightarrow D \rtimes_{\alpha*\alpha} \mathbf{F}_2 = M.$$

Similarly, since

$$\sigma \circ (\alpha * \alpha)_b \circ \sigma^{-1} = \sigma \circ \alpha \circ \sigma^{-1} = \alpha^{p+q} = \alpha^{r+s} = (\alpha * \alpha)_h,$$

there exists an injetive homomorphism

$$\pi_A : D \rtimes_{\alpha*\alpha} b^{\mathbf{Z}} \cong D \rtimes_{\alpha*\alpha} h^{\mathbf{Z}} \hookrightarrow D \rtimes_{\alpha*\alpha} \mathbf{F}_2 = M$$

satisfying $\pi_B(\lambda_b) = \lambda_h$, $\pi_B(x) = \sigma(x)$ for $x \in D$. Then $(\pi_A, \pi_B)$ satisfies conditions (1), (3) in Theorem 6. Since $\{g, h\}$ generates $\mathbf{F}_2$, $(\pi_A, \pi_B)$ satisfies condition (2) in Theorem 6. Since $a \mapsto g$, $b \mapsto h$ is injective, $(\pi_A, \pi_B)$ satisfies condition (4) in Theorem 6. Hence the automorphism $\sigma$ extends to $M$ so that $\sigma(\lambda_a) = \lambda_g$, $\sigma(\lambda_b) = \lambda_h$. This $\sigma$ is the desired one because

$$\sigma^{-1} \circ \theta_t^1 \circ \sigma(\lambda_a) = \sigma^{-1} \circ \theta_t^1(\lambda_g) = e^{i(n_a(g)\lambda_1 + n_b(g)\mu_1)t}\lambda_a = e^{i\lambda_2 t}\lambda_a,$$

$$\sigma^{-1} \circ \theta_t^1 \circ \sigma(\lambda_b) = \sigma^{-1} \circ \theta_t^1(\lambda_h) = e^{i(n_a(h)\lambda_1 + n_b(h)\mu_1)t}\lambda_a = e^{i\mu_2 t}\lambda_b.$$

Next, we assume that $\theta|_D$ is ergodic and has no non-zero eigenvalue and show the implication (1) ⇒ (2). Assume that $\theta^1$ and $\theta^2$ are conjugate relative to $D$. We first assume that $\lambda_1 \neq \mu_1$ or $\lambda_2 \neq \mu_2$. Then there exists $\sigma \in \mathrm{Aut}(M)$ such that

$$\sigma\theta^2\sigma^{-1} = \theta^1,\ \sigma(D) = D.$$

Consider the Fourier expansion $\sigma(\lambda_a) = \sum_{g\in\mathbf{F}_2} x_g\lambda_g$. Since $\sigma\theta^2 = \theta^1\sigma$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} e^{i\lambda_2 t}\sum_{g\in\mathbf{F}_2} x_g\lambda_g &= \sigma\theta^2(\lambda_a) \\ &= \theta^1\sigma(\lambda_a) \\ &= \theta^1\left(\sum_{g\in\mathbf{F}_2} x_g\lambda_g\right) \\ &= \sum_{g\in\mathbf{F}_2} \theta^1(x_g)e^{i(\lambda_1 n_a(g)+\mu_1 n_b(g))t}\lambda_g. \end{aligned}$$

Hence we have

$$\theta^1_t(x_g) = e^{i(\lambda_2-\lambda_1 n_a(g)-\mu_1 n_b(g))t}x_g$$

for $t \in \mathbf{R}$, $g \in \mathbf{F}_2$. Since $\theta^1$ is free ergodic and have no non-zero eigenvalue, we have

$$x_g \in \mathbf{C},\ \lambda_2 = \lambda_1 n_a(g) + \mu_1 n_b(g)$$

for all $g \in \mathbf{F}_2$. Since $\sigma(\lambda_a)$ is a unitary of the nomalizer of $D$, this defines an automorphism of $D$ satisfying

$$D \ni f \mapsto \sum |x_g|^2\alpha^{n_a(g)+n_b(g)}(f) \in D.$$

Hence by Lemma 34, we have

$$n_a(g) + n_b(g)$$

is constant for $g \in \mathbf{F}_2$, $x_g \neq 0$. Since we have $\lambda_1 \neq \lambda_2$, $p := n_a(g)$ and $q := n_b(g)$ are constant for some $g \in \mathbf{F}_2$, $x_g \neq 0$. By the same argument as above, if we expand $\sigma(\lambda_b) = \sum_h x_h\lambda_h$, we have $r := n_a(h)$ and $s := n_b(h)$ are constant for some $h \in \mathbf{F}_2$, $x_h \neq 0$. Since $\{\{\sigma(\lambda_a), \sigma(\lambda_b)\} \cup D\}'' = M$, by looking at the Fourier expansion, we can see that $\{g|x_g \neq 0\} \cup \{h|x_h \neq 0\}$ generates $\mathbf{F}_2$. Hence by Lemma 35, we have

$$\begin{pmatrix} p & q \\ r & s \end{pmatrix} \in \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbf{Z}).$$

Since $\sigma(\lambda_{ab^{-1}})$ commutes with $D$, we have $p - r + q - s = 0$. Moreover, we have

$$\sigma(\alpha(x)) = \sigma(\lambda_a x\lambda_a^*) = \sigma(\lambda_a)\sigma(x)\sigma(\lambda_a^*) = \alpha^{p+q}(\sigma(x)).$$

Next, we consider the case when $\lambda_1 = \mu_1$, $\lambda_2 = \mu_2$. What does not work in the above argument is to determin $n_a(g)$, $n_b(g)$, $n_a(h)$, $n_b(h)$. However, by the same argument as above, $n_a(g) + n_b(g)$ is constant for $\{g|x_g \neq 0\} \cup \{g|y_g \neq 0\}$. This value must be $\pm1$ because

$\{\sigma(\lambda_a), \sigma(\lambda_b)\} \cup D$ generates $M$. Hence, by using $\lambda_2 = \lambda_1 n_a(g) + \mu_1 n_b(g)$, we have $(\lambda_2, \mu_2) = \pm(\lambda_1, \mu_1)$. Thus we are done. $\square$

## 5. APPENDIX

Here, we give a proof of Theorem 13 by an argument similar to the one for II § 3, Theorem 2 of [23].

The following lemma is out of the starting point.

**Lemma 37.** (II § 1, Corollary 6 of [23]) *Let $G$ be a locally compact abelian separable group. Assume that $G$ acts freely on the diffuse standard probability space $(X, \mu)$. Then for any $E \subset X$ with $\mu(E) > 0$ and for any compact neighborhood $K$ of $e$ in $G$, there exists a measurable subset $N$ of $E$ and a Borel measure $\nu$ on $N$ with the following properties:*

(1) The set $A := \bigcup_{g \in K} gN$ is Borel measurable and $\mu(A) > 0$.

(2) There is a Borel isomorphism $F : A \cong N \times K$ such that

$$\mu F^{-1} = \nu \otimes \text{Haar measure}.$$

(3) Under this identification, we have

$$g(y, h) = (y, gh)$$

for $y \in Y$, $g \in G$, $h \in K$ with $gh \in K$.

As in Ornstein and Weiss [23], we call a measurable subset $N$ satisfying conditions (1)–(3) in the above lemma a $K$-base. Sometimes we would like to consider non-compact $K$'s such as semi-open intervals.

We prove Theorem 13.

*Proof* . Take $L \in \mathbf{N}$ so large that we have $2^{-L+1} < \epsilon/10000$ and take $n \in \mathbf{N}$ so that $12/\epsilon - 16 < n - 1$. Set

$$F_1 := [-2^{L(n-1)}T, 2^{L(n-1)}T) \times [-2^{L(n-1)}N, 2^{L(n-1)}N),$$
$$F_2 := [-2^{L(n-2)}T, 2^{L(n-2)}T) \times [-2^{L(n-2)}N, 2^{L(n-2)}N),$$
$$\cdots,$$
$$F_n := [-T, T) \times [-N, N).$$

**Claim 1.** There exists an $F_1$-base $U_1$ satisfying $\mu(F_1 U_1) \geq 1/4$.

*Proof of Claim 1.* Let $\{V_i\}$ be a maximal family of $F_1$-basis satisfying $F_1 V_i \bigcap F_1 V_j = \emptyset$ for any $i \neq j$, $\mu(F_1 V_i) > 0$ for any $i$. Set

$$F_1' = [-2^{L(n-1)+1}T, 2^{L(n-1)+1}T) \times [-2^{L(n-1)+1}N, 2^{L(n-1)+1}N).$$

Then we have $\bigcup_i F_1' V_i$ is full measure. If not, by the above lemma, there would exist an $F_1$-base $V$ satisfying $V \subset X \setminus (\bigcup_i F_1' V_i)$. This $V$ satisfies $F_1 V \bigcap F_1 V_i = \emptyset$ for any $i$, which contradicts the maximality of $\{V_i\}$. Hence we have $\mu\left(\bigcup F_1 V_i\right) \geq 1/4$.

**Claim 2.** If $\mu(F_1 U_1) < 1 - \epsilon/2$, there exists an $F_2$-base $U_2$ such that

$$\mu(F_2 U_2) \geq \mu(F_1 U_1) + \epsilon/16.$$

*Proof of Claim 2.* Let $\{V_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ be a maximal family of $F_2$-bases such that

$$V_1 = \bigcup_{-2^{L-1}+1 \leq k, l \leq 2^{L-1}-1} (2^{L(n-2)}(2k)T, 2^{L(n-2)}(2l)N)U_1,$$

$F_2 V_i \bigcap F_2 V_j = \emptyset$ for any $i \neq j$, $\mu(F_2 V_i) > 0$ for any $i$. Set

$$\begin{aligned} F_2' := [-2^{L(n-2)+1}T, & 2^{L(n-2)+1}T) \\ & \times [-2^{L(n-2)+1}N, 2^{L(n-2)+1}N). \end{aligned}$$

Then by the same argument as in the proof of Claim 1, we have

$$\mu\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} F_2' V_i\right) = 1.$$

Since $F_2' V_1 = F_1 U_1$, we have

$$\mu\left(\bigcup_{i=2}^{\infty} F_2' V_i\right) \geq 1 - \mu(F_1 U_1).$$

Hence we have

$$\mu\left(\bigcup_{i=2}^{\infty} F_2 V_i\right) \geq \left(1 - \mu(F_1 U_1)\right)/4 > \epsilon/8.$$

Set

$$U_2 := \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} V_i.$$

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mu(F_2 U_2) &= \mu(F_2 V_1) + \mu\left(\bigcup_{i=2}^{\infty} F_2 V_i\right) \\ &\geq (1 - 2^{-L})^2 \mu(F_1 U_1) + (1 - \mu(F_1 U_1))/4 \\ &> \mu(F_1 U_1) - 2\epsilon/10000 + \epsilon/8 \\ &> \mu(F_1 U_1) + \epsilon/16. \end{aligned}$$

**Claim** $i$**.** If $\mu(F_{i-1}U_{i-1}) < 1 - \epsilon/2$, there exists an $F_i$-base $U_i$ such that

$$\mu(F_iU_i) \geq \mu(F_{i-1}U_{i-1}) + \epsilon/16.$$

*Proof of Claim i.* By the same argument as in the proof of Claim 2, it is possible to find the desired $U_i$.

We now come back to the proof of Theorem 13. If there exists $m < n$ with $\mu(F_mU_m) > 1 - \epsilon/2$, it is possible to construct an $F_n$-base $U$ from $U_m$ satisfying $\mu(F_nU) > 1 - \epsilon/2$. If $\mu(F_mU_m) \leq 1 - \epsilon/2$ for all $m < n$, then the $F_n$-base $U_n$ does the job because

$$\begin{aligned} \mu(F_nU_n) &> \mu(F_{n-1}U_{n-1}) + \epsilon/16 \\ &> \cdots \\ &> \mu(F_1U_1) + (n-1)\epsilon/16 \\ &\geq 1/4 + (3/4 - \epsilon) = 1 - \epsilon. \end{aligned}$$

□

## References

[1] A. Connes, Outer conjugacy classes of automorphisms of factors, Ann. Sci. Ecole Norm. Sup. (4) **8** (1975), no. 3, 383–419.

[2] A. Connes, Periodic automorphisms of the hyperfinite factor of type $\mathrm{II}_1$, Acta Sci. Math. (Szeged) **39** (1977), no. 1–2, 39–66.

[3] A. Connes and B.Weiss, Property T and asymptotically invariant sequences, Israel J. Math. **37** (1980), no. 3, 209–210.

[4] E. G. Effros, Property Γ and inner amenability, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **47** (1975), 483–486.

[5] U. Haagerup, The standard form of von Neumann algebras, Math. Scand. **37** (1975), 271–285.

[6] C. Houdayer and S. Vaes, Type III factors with unique Cartan decomposition, Preprint (2012), arXiv:1203.1254.

[7] V. F. R. Jones and K. Schmidt, Asymptotically invariant sequences and approximate finiteness, Amer. J. Math. **109** (1987), no. 1, 91–114.

[8] Y. Katayama, C. E. Sutherland and M. Takesaki, The characteristic square of a factor and the cocycle conjugacy of discrete group actions on factors, Invent. Math. **132** (1998), 331–380.

[9] Y. Kawahigashi, Centrally ergodic one-parameter automorphism groups on semifinite injective von Neumann algebras, Math. Scand. **64** (1989), no. 2, 285–299.

[10] Y. Kawahigashi, One-parameter automorphism groups of the hyperfinite type $\mathrm{II}_1$ factor, J. Operator Theory **25** (1991), no. 1, 37–59.

[11] Y. Kawahigashi, One-parameter automorphism groups of the injective $\mathrm{II}_1$ factor arising from the irrational rotation C*-algebra, Amer. J. Math. **112** (1990), no. 4, 499–523.

[12] Y. Kawahigashi, One-parameter automorphism groups of the injective factor of type $\mathrm{II}_1$ with Connes spectrum zero, Canad. J. Math. **43** (1991), no. 1, 108–118.

[13] Y. Kawahigashi, C. E. Sutherland, and M. Takesaki, The structure of the automorphism group of an injective factor and the cocycle conjugacy of discrete abelian group actions, Acta Math. **169** (1992), 105–130.

[14] K. Kawamuro, A Rohlin property for one-parameter automorphism groups of the hyperfinite $\mathrm{II}_1$ factor, Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci. **36** (2000), no. 5, 641–657.

[15] Y. Kida, Stability in orbit equivalence for Baumslag-Solitar groups and Vaes groups, Preprint (2012), arXiv:1205.5123.

[16] A. Kishimoto, A Rohlin property for one-parameter automorphism groups, Comm. Math. Phys. **179** (1996), no. 3, 599–622.

[17] T. Masuda, Unified approach to classification of actions of discrete amenable groups on injective factors, to appear in J. Reine Anguew. Math.

[18] T. Masuda and R. Tomatsu, Approximate innerness and central triviality of endomorphisms, Adv. Math. **220** (2009), 1075–1134.

[19] T. Masuda and R. Tomatsu, Classification of minimal actions of a compact Kac algebra with amenable dual, Comm. Math. Phys. **274** (2007), 487–551.

[20] T. Masuda and R. Tomatsu, Classification of minimal actions of a compact Kac algebra with amenable dual on injective factors of type III, J. Funct. Anal. **258** (2010), 1965–2025.

[21] T. Masuda and R. Tomatsu, Rohlin flows on von Neumann algebras, Preprint (2012), math.OA/1206.0955.

[22] A. Ocneanu, Actions of discrete amenable groups on von Neumann algebras, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, **1138**. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1985. iv+115 pp.

[23] D. S. Ornstein and B. Weiss, Entropy and isomorphism theorems for actions of amenable groups, J. Analyse Math. **48** (1987), 1–141.

[24] S. Popa, Markov traces on universal Jones algebras and subfactors of finite index.(English summary), Invent. Math. **111** (1993), no. 2, 375–405.

[25] S. Popa, Maximal injective subalgebras in factors associated with free groups, Adv. in Math. **50** (1983), no. 1, 27–48.

[26] K. Schmidt, Amenability, Kazhdan's property T, strong ergodicity and invariant means for ergodic group-actions, Ergod. theory dyn. syst, **1** (1981), no. 2, 223–236.

[27] K. Schmidt, Asymptotically invariant sequences and an action of SL (2, Z) on the 2-sphere, Israel J. Math. **37** (1980), 193-208.

[28] C. E. Sutherland and M. Takesaki, Actions of discrete amenable groups and groupoids on von Neumann algebras, Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci. **21** (1985), 1087–1120.

[29] C.E. Sutherland and M. Takesaki, Actions of discrete amenable groups on injective factors of type III, $\lambda \neq 1$, Pacific. J. Math. **137** (1989), 405–444.

[30] M. Takesaki, Theory of operator algebras. I, Reprint of the first (1979) edition. Encyclopaedia of Mathematical Sciences, 124. Operator Algebras and Non-commutative Geometry, 5. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2002. xx+415 pp.

[31] M. Takesaki, Theory of operator algebras. II, Encyclopaedia of Mathematical Sciences, 125. Operator Algebras and Non-commutative Geometry, 6. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2003. xxii+518 pp.

[32] M. Takesaki, Theory of operator algebras. III, Encyclopaedia of Mathematical Sciences, 127. Operator Algebras and Non-commutative Geometry, 8. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2003. xxii+548 pp.

[33] Y. Ueda, Amalgamated free product over Cartan subalgebra, Pacific J. Math. **191** (1999), no. 2, 359–392.

[34] Y. Ueda, Fullness, Connes' -groups, and ultra-products of amalgamated free products over Cartan subalgebras, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **355** (2003), no. 1, 349–371 (electronic).

Department of Mathematical Sciences University of Tokyo, Komaba, Tokyo, 153-8914, Japan

*E-mail address*: `shimada@ms.u-tokyo.ac.jp`